UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,834	05/15/2006	Franz Muchel	GK-ZEI-3302/500343.20323	7467	
26418 REED SMITH,	7590 08/28/200 LLP	8	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			THOMPSON, TIMOTHY J		
NEW YORK, N		LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/595,834	MUCHEL, FRANZ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TIMOTHY J. THOMPSON	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this commun (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>an an</u>	nendment filed 7/17/2008					
	action is non-final.					
		socution as to the mor	rite ie			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10,13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>11,12 and 14</u> is/are objected to.						
, <u> </u>	· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>		(d) (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stag	,e			
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9, 10, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiraga(U.S. Pat. No. 6,560,033).

Regarding clam 9, Hiraga discloses, a collector assembly(fig 2, G1, G2); and an apochromaticizing adapter assembly which is associated with the collector assembly(fig 2, G3).

Regarding clam 10, Hiraga discloses the adapter assembly has three lenses(fig 2, G3), wherein one lens having negative power(table 2, r9-r10) is arranged between two lenses having positive power(table 2, r8-r9, r10-r11).

Regarding clam 13, Hiraga discloses wherein the collector assembly has two lenses(fig 2, r1-r2, r3-r4).

Regarding clam 15, Hiraga discloses designed for wavelengths in the range of 365 nm to 644 nm(col 8, lines 5-20 since the aberration diagram is wavelengths within the wavelength range).

Claims 9, 10, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharma(U.S. Pat. No. 2004/0109238).

Regarding clam 9, Sharma discloses, a collector assembly(fig 3, 24, 27); and an apochromaticizing adapter assembly which is associated with the collector assembly(fig 3, 21-23).

Regarding clam 10, Sharma discloses the adapter assembly has three lenses(fig 3, 21-23), wherein one lens having negative power(fig 3, 22) is arranged between two lenses having positive power(table 3, 21, 23).

Regarding clam 13, Sharma discloses wherein the collector assembly has two lenses(fig 3, 23, 27).

Regarding clam 15, Sharma discloses designed for wavelengths in the range of 365 nm to 644 nm(para 0036, 0037).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/17/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's arguments that Hiraga does not disclose a collector assembly and a apochromaticizing assembly. Regarding the collector Hiraga discloses a first positive meniscus lens with the convex surface facing the second lens, and the second lens being a positive bi-convex lens. This is the same structure as the applicant's collector assembly, thus Hiraga discloses a collector lens system. Regarding the adaptor assembly Hiraga discloses a triplet consisting of a first bi-convex lens a second bi-concave lens followed by a third bi-convex lens. This is the same structure the applicant has, thus Hiraga discloses an apochromaticizing adapter assembly.

Regarding the Sharma lens system, the collector of Sharma discloses a first positive meniscus lens with the convex surface facing the second lens, and the second

Art Unit: 2873

lens being a positive bi-convex lens. This is the same structure as the applicant's collector assembly, thus Sharam discloses a collector lens system. Regarding the adaptor assembly Hiraga discloses a first bi-convex lens, a second bi-concave lens followed by a thrd positiv convex lens. This is the same structure the applicant has, thus Hiraga discloses an apochromaticizing adapter assembly.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 12, 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The allowable features being; the three lenses are separated from one another by air gaps, and the lens surfaces facing the air gaps have identical radii(claim 11); wherein the optical characteristics of the two lenses having positive power are identical(claim 12); wherein means are provided for detachably connecting the adapter assembly to interchangeable collector assemblies which have different optical characteristics(claim 14).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY J. THOMPSON whose telephone number is (571)272-2342. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mack Ricky can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,834 Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

/Timothy J Thompson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873